

# NTRU-HRSS-KEM

Andreas Hülsing<sup>1</sup>, Joost Rijneveld<sup>2</sup>, John Schanck<sup>3</sup>, Peter Schwabe<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup> Institute for Quantum Computing, University of Waterloo, Canada

2018-04-13

## NTRU (Hoffstein–Pipher–Silverman 1998)

Arithmetic is in  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^n - 1)$

## NTRU (Hoffstein–Pipher–Silverman 1998)

Arithmetic is in  $R = (\mathbb{Z}^n, +, \circledast)$ , where  $\circledast$  is cyclic convolution.

## NTRU (Hoffstein–Pipher–Silverman 1998)

Arithmetic is in  $R = (\mathbb{Z}^n, +, \circledast)$ , where  $\circledast$  is cyclic convolution.

Reduction modulo an integer  $t$  is into the interval  $[-t/2, t/2)$ .

## NTRU (Hoffstein–Pipher–Silverman 1998)

Arithmetic is in  $R = (\mathbb{Z}^n, +, \circledast)$ , where  $\circledast$  is cyclic convolution.

Reduction modulo an integer  $t$  is into the interval  $[-t/2, t/2)$ .

Parameters:  $n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$  and  $p \ll q$ .

Sample spaces  $\mathcal{L}_f, \mathcal{L}_g, \mathcal{L}_r$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_m$  are sets of “short” elements of  $R$ .

## NTRU (Hoffstein–Pipher–Silverman 1998)

Arithmetic is in  $R = (\mathbb{Z}^n, +, \circledast)$ , where  $\circledast$  is cyclic convolution.

Reduction modulo an integer  $t$  is into the interval  $[-t/2, t/2)$ .

Parameters:  $n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$  and  $p \ll q$ .

Sample spaces  $\mathcal{L}_f, \mathcal{L}_g, \mathcal{L}_r$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_m$  are sets of “short” elements of  $R$ .

For concreteness, think:  $n$  prime,  $q = 2^{\lceil \log n \rceil + O(1)}$ , and  $p = 3$ .

Sample spaces are subsets of  $\{-1, 0, 1\}^n$ .

# NTRU (Hoffstein–Pipher–Silverman 1998)

## Key Generation

- 1: Sample  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\mathcal{L}_f$  and  $\mathcal{L}_g$ .
  - 2: (Try to) compute  $F_q$  such that  $(f \circledast F_q) \bmod q = 1$ .
  - 3: (Try to) compute  $F_p$  such that  $(f \circledast F_p) \bmod p = 1$ .
  - 4: If step 2 or step 3 fails, go to 1.
  - 5:  $h = (p \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .
- Output:** Private key  $(f, F_p)$  and public key  $h$ .

# NTRU (Hoffstein–Pipher–Silverman 1998)

## Key Generation

- 1: Sample  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\mathcal{L}_f$  and  $\mathcal{L}_g$ .
  - 2: (Try to) compute  $F_q$  such that  $(f \circledast F_q) \bmod q = 1$ .
  - 3: (Try to) compute  $F_p$  such that  $(f \circledast F_p) \bmod p = 1$ .
  - 4: If step 2 or step 3 fails, go to 1.
  - 5:  $h = (p \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .
- Output:** Private key  $(f, F_p)$  and public key  $h$ .

## Encryption

**Input:** Message  $m \in \mathcal{L}_m$ .

- 1: Sample  $r$  from  $\mathcal{L}_r$ .
- 2:  $c = (r \circledast h + m) \bmod q$ .

**Output:** Ciphertext  $c$ .



# NTRU (Hoffstein–Pipher–Silverman 1998)

## Key Generation

- 1: Sample  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\mathcal{L}_f$  and  $\mathcal{L}_g$ .
  - 2: (Try to) compute  $F_q$  such that  $(f \circledast F_q) \bmod q = 1$ .
  - 3: (Try to) compute  $F_p$  such that  $(f \circledast F_p) \bmod p = 1$ .
  - 4: If step 2 or step 3 fails, go to 1.
  - 5:  $h = (p \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .
- Output:** Private key  $(f, F_p)$  and public key  $h$ .

## Encryption

**Input:** Message  $m \in \mathcal{L}_m$ .

- 1: Sample  $r$  from  $\mathcal{L}_r$ .
- 2:  $c = (r \circledast h + m) \bmod q$ .

**Output:** Ciphertext  $c$ .

## Decryption

**Input:** Ciphertext  $c$ .

- 1:  $v = (c \circledast f) \bmod q$ .
- 2:  $m' = (v \circledast F_p) \bmod p$ .

**Output:**  $m'$ .

# Why HPS98 decryption works

## Decryption

**Input:** Ciphertext  $c$ .

1:  $v = (c \circledast f) \bmod q$ .

2:  $m' = (v \circledast F_p) \bmod p$ .

**Output:**  $m'$ .

Crucial step is:

$$v = (c \circledast f) \bmod q$$

# Why HPS98 decryption works

## Decryption

**Input:** Ciphertext  $c$ .

1:  $v = (c \circledast f) \bmod q$ .

2:  $m' = (v \circledast F_p) \bmod p$ .

**Output:**  $m'$ .

Recall:

►  $c = (r \circledast h + m) \bmod q$ .

Crucial step is:

$$v = (c \circledast f) \bmod q \equiv (r \circledast h + m) \circledast f \pmod{q}$$

# Why HPS98 decryption works

## Decryption

**Input:** Ciphertext  $c$ .

1:  $v = (c \circledast f) \bmod q$ .

2:  $m' = (v \circledast F_p) \bmod p$ .

**Output:**  $m'$ .

Recall:

▶  $c = (r \circledast h + m) \bmod q$ .

▶  $h = (p \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .

Crucial step is:

$$\begin{aligned} v = (c \circledast f) \bmod q &\equiv (r \circledast h + m) \circledast f \pmod{q} \\ &\equiv (r \circledast p \circledast g \circledast F_q + m) \circledast f \pmod{q} \end{aligned}$$

# Why HPS98 decryption works

## Decryption

**Input:** Ciphertext  $c$ .

1:  $v = (c \circledast f) \bmod q$ .

2:  $m' = (v \circledast F_p) \bmod p$ .

**Output:**  $m'$ .

Recall:

▶  $c = (r \circledast h + m) \bmod q$ .

▶  $h = (p \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .

▶  $(F_q \circledast f) \bmod q = 1$ .

Crucial step is:

$$\begin{aligned} v = (c \circledast f) \bmod q &\equiv (r \circledast h + m) \circledast f \pmod{q} \\ &\equiv (r \circledast p \circledast g \circledast F_q + m) \circledast f \pmod{q} \\ &\equiv r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f \pmod{q}. \end{aligned}$$

# Why HPS98 decryption works

## Decryption

**Input:** Ciphertext  $c$ .

1:  $v = (c \circledast f) \bmod q$ .

2:  $m' = (v \circledast F_p) \bmod p$ .

**Output:**  $m'$ .

Recall:

▶  $c = (r \circledast h + m) \bmod q$ .

▶  $h = (p \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .

▶  $(F_q \circledast f) \bmod q = 1$ .

Crucial step is:

$$\begin{aligned}v &= (c \circledast f) \bmod q \equiv (r \circledast h + m) \circledast f \pmod{q} \\ &\equiv (r \circledast p \circledast g \circledast F_q + m) \circledast f \pmod{q} \\ &\equiv r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f \pmod{q}.\end{aligned}$$

Correctness depends on equality in

$$(c \circledast f) \bmod q \stackrel{?}{=} r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f.$$

# Why HPS98 decryption works

## Decryption

**Input:** Ciphertext  $c$ .

1:  $v = (c \circledast f) \bmod q$ .

2:  $m' = (v \circledast F_p) \bmod p$ .

**Output:**  $m'$ .

Recall:

▶  $c = (r \circledast h + m) \bmod q$ .

▶  $h = (p \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .

▶  $(F_q \circledast f) \bmod q = 1$ .

# Why HPS98 decryption works

## Decryption

**Input:** Ciphertext  $c$ .

1:  $v = (c \circledast f) \bmod q$ .

2:  $m' = (v \circledast F_p) \bmod p$ .

**Output:**  $m'$ .

Recall:

▶  $c = (r \circledast h + m) \bmod q$ .

▶  $h = (p \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .

▶  $(F_q \circledast f) \bmod q = 1$ .

Equality in

$$(c \circledast f) \bmod q \stackrel{?}{=} r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f$$

holds when

$$|r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f|_{\infty} < q/2.$$



# Why HPS98 decryption works

## Decryption

**Input:** Ciphertext  $c$ .

1:  $v = (c \circledast f) \bmod q$ .

2:  $m' = (v \circledast F_p) \bmod p$ .

**Output:**  $m'$ .

Recall:

▶  $c = (r \circledast h + m) \bmod q$ .

▶  $h = (p \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .

▶  $(F_q \circledast f) \bmod q = 1$ .

Equality in

$$(c \circledast f) \bmod q \stackrel{?}{=} r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f$$

holds when

$$|r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f|_\infty < q/2.$$

Parameters, incl.  $\mathcal{L}_f, \mathcal{L}_g, \mathcal{L}_r, \mathcal{L}_m$ , are chosen to ensure this usually holds.  
It is possible to choose parameters for which this always holds.

# NTRU-HRSS

## NTRU-HRSS

Arithmetic is still in  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ ,

## NTRU-HRSS

Arithmetic is still in  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ ,  
but now we will pay attention to the fact that

$$x^n - 1 = (x - 1)(x^{n-1} + x^{n-2} + \cdots + x + 1).$$

## NTRU-HRSS

Arithmetic is still in  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ ,  
but now we will pay attention to the fact that

$$x^n - 1 = (x - 1) \underbrace{(x^{n-1} + x^{n-2} + \cdots + x + 1)}_{\Phi_n}.$$

It will be helpful to define  $S \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(\Phi_n)$ .

## NTRU-HRSS

Parameters: Prime  $n$  for which both 2 and 3 generate  $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$ ,  
 $p = 3$ , and  $q = 2^{\lceil 3.5 + \log n \rceil}$ .

# NTRU-HRSS

Parameters: Prime  $n$  for which both 2 and 3 generate  $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$ ,  
 $p = 3$ , and  $q = 2^{\lceil 3.5 + \log n \rceil}$ .

Define

$$\mathcal{T} = \{v \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n : v_{n-1} = 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_+ = \{v \in \mathcal{T} : \langle x \circledast v, v \rangle \geq 0\}.$$

# NTRU-HRSS

Parameters: Prime  $n$  for which both 2 and 3 generate  $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$ ,  
 $p = 3$ , and  $q = 2^{\lceil 3.5 + \log n \rceil}$ .

Define

$$\mathcal{T} = \{v \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n : v_{n-1} = 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_+ = \{v \in \mathcal{T} : \langle x \circledast v, v \rangle \geq 0\}.$$

Sample spaces:  $\mathcal{L}_f = \mathcal{L}_g = \mathcal{T}_+$  and  $\mathcal{L}_r = \mathcal{L}_m = \mathcal{T}$ .



# NTRU-HRSS

Parameters: Prime  $n$  for which both 2 and 3 generate  $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$ ,  
 $p = 3$ , and  $q = 2^{\lceil 3.5 + \log n \rceil}$ .

Define

$$\mathcal{T} = \{v \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n : v_{n-1} = 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_+ = \{v \in \mathcal{T} : \langle x \circledast v, v \rangle \geq 0\}.$$

Sample spaces:  $\mathcal{L}_f = \mathcal{L}_g = \mathcal{T}_+$  and  $\mathcal{L}_r = \mathcal{L}_m = \mathcal{T}$ .

*For the experts:* We want to do NTRU in  $S = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(\Phi_n)$ , but we want perfect correctness and small  $q$ . The usual decryption algorithm in  $S$  costs us a factor of 2 in  $q$ . Better decryption algorithms require analysis of “gap failures” (see: Silverman, NTRU Tech Report #11, 2001). Using  $\mathcal{T}_+$  saves us a factor of  $\sqrt{2}$ , with little effort.

## Key Generation

- 1: Sample  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\mathcal{L}_f$  and  $\mathcal{L}_g$ .
- 2: (Try to) compute  $F_q$  such that  $(f \circledast F_q) \bmod q \equiv 1$  in  $S$ .
- 3: (Try to) compute  $F_p$  such that  $(f \circledast F_p) \bmod p \equiv 1$  in  $S$ .
- 4: If step 2 or step 3 fails, go to 1.
- 5:  $h = (p \circledast (x - 1) \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .

**Output:** Private key  $(f, F_p)$  and public key  $h$ .

## Key Generation

- 1: Sample  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\mathcal{L}_f$  and  $\mathcal{L}_g$ .
- 2: ~~(Try to)~~ compute  $F_q$  such that  $(f \circledast F_q) \bmod q \equiv 1$  in  $S$ .
- 3: ~~(Try to)~~ compute  $F_p$  such that  $(f \circledast F_p) \bmod p \equiv 1$  in  $S$ .
- 4: ~~If step 2 or step 3 fails, go to 1.~~
- 5:  $h = (p \circledast (x - 1) \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .

**Output:** Private key  $(f, F_p)$  and public key  $h$ .

## Key Generation

- 1: Sample  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\mathcal{L}_f$  and  $\mathcal{L}_g$ .
- 2: Compute  $F_q$  such that  $(f \circledast F_q) \bmod q \equiv 1$  in  $S$ .
- 3: Compute  $F_p$  such that  $(f \circledast F_p) \bmod p \equiv 1$  in  $S$ .
- 4:  $h = (p \circledast (x - 1) \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .

**Output:** Private key  $(f, F_p)$  and public key  $h$ .

## Key Generation

- 1: Sample  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\mathcal{L}_f$  and  $\mathcal{L}_g$ .
- 2: Compute  $F_q$  such that  $(f \circledast F_q) \bmod q \equiv 1$  in  $S$ .
- 3: Compute  $F_p$  such that  $(f \circledast F_p) \bmod p \equiv 1$  in  $S$ .
- 4:  $h = (p \circledast (x - 1) \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .

**Output:** Private key  $(f, F_p)$  and public key  $h$ .

## Encryption

**Input:** Message  $m \in \mathcal{L}_m$ .

- 1: Sample  $r$  from  $\mathcal{L}_r$ .
- 2:  $c = (r \circledast h + \text{Lift}P(m)) \bmod q$ .

**Output:** Ciphertext  $c$ .

## Key Generation

- 1: Sample  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\mathcal{L}_f$  and  $\mathcal{L}_g$ .
- 2: Compute  $F_q$  such that  $(f \circledast F_q) \bmod q \equiv 1$  in  $S$ .
- 3: Compute  $F_p$  such that  $(f \circledast F_p) \bmod p \equiv 1$  in  $S$ .
- 4:  $h = (p \circledast (x - 1) \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .

**Output:** Private key  $(f, F_p)$  and public key  $h$ .

## Encryption

**Input:** Message  $m \in \mathcal{L}_m$ .

- 1: Sample  $r$  from  $\mathcal{L}_r$ .
- 2:  $c = (r \circledast h + \text{LiftP}(m)) \bmod q$ .

**Output:** Ciphertext  $c$ .

Where

$$\text{LiftP}(m) = (x - 1) \circledast m_0$$

with  $m_0 \in \mathcal{T}$  and

$$\text{LiftP}(m) \equiv m \text{ in } S/p.$$

## Key Generation

- 1: Sample  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\mathcal{L}_f$  and  $\mathcal{L}_g$ .
- 2: Compute  $F_q$  such that  $(f \circledast F_q) \bmod q \equiv 1$  in  $S$ .
- 3: Compute  $F_p$  such that  $(f \circledast F_p) \bmod p \equiv 1$  in  $S$ .
- 4:  $h = (p \circledast (x - 1) \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .

**Output:** Private key  $(f, F_p)$  and public key  $h$ .

## Encryption

**Input:** Message  $m \in \mathcal{L}_m$ .

- 1: Sample  $r$  from  $\mathcal{L}_r$ .
- 2:  $c = (r \circledast h + \text{LiftP}(m)) \bmod q$ .

**Output:** Ciphertext  $c$ .

## Key Generation

- 1: Sample  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\mathcal{L}_f$  and  $\mathcal{L}_g$ .
- 2: Compute  $F_q$  such that  $(f \circledast F_q) \bmod q \equiv 1$  in  $S$ .
- 3: Compute  $F_p$  such that  $(f \circledast F_p) \bmod p \equiv 1$  in  $S$ .
- 4:  $h = (p \circledast (x - 1) \circledast g \circledast F_q) \bmod q$ .

**Output:** Private key  $(f, F_p)$  and public key  $h$ .

## Encryption

**Input:** Message  $m \in \mathcal{L}_m$ .

- 1: Sample  $r$  from  $\mathcal{L}_r$ .
- 2:  $c = (r \circledast h + \text{LiftP}(m)) \bmod q$ .

**Output:** Ciphertext  $c$ .

## Decryption

**Input:** Ciphertext  $c$ .

- 1:  $v = (c \circledast f) \bmod q$ .
- 2:  $u = (v \circledast F_p) \bmod p$ .
- 3:  $m' = (u - u_{n-1} \cdot \Phi_n) \bmod p$ .

**Output:**  $m'$



## Correctness condition

NTRU-HRSS decryption will succeed if

$$|r \circledast p \circledast (x - 1) \circledast g + \text{Lift}P(m) \circledast f|_{\infty} < q/2.$$

## Correctness condition

NTRU-HRSS decryption will succeed if

$$|r \circledast p \circledast (x - 1) \circledast g + \text{Lift}P(m) \circledast f|_{\infty} < q/2.$$

The triangle inequality gives:

$$|r \circledast p \circledast (x - 1) \circledast g|_{\infty} < 2pn.$$

$$|\text{Lift}P(m) \circledast f|_{\infty} < 2n.$$

## Correctness condition

NTRU-HRSS decryption will succeed if

$$|r \circledast p \circledast (x - 1) \circledast g + \text{Lift}P(m) \circledast f|_{\infty} < q/2.$$

The triangle inequality gives:

$$|r \circledast p \circledast (x - 1) \circledast g|_{\infty} < 2pn.$$

$$|\text{Lift}P(m) \circledast f|_{\infty} < 2n.$$

But we prove that for  $f, g \in \mathcal{T}_+$

$$|r \circledast p \circledast (x - 1) \circledast g|_{\infty} < \sqrt{2}pn.$$

$$|\text{Lift}P(m) \circledast f|_{\infty} < \sqrt{2}n.$$

## Why not just do NTRU in $S$ ?

“NTRU in  $S$ ” decryption will succeed if

$$|r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f - b\Phi_n|_\infty < q/2,$$

where  $b$  is the coefficient of  $x^{n-1}$  in  $r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f$ .

## Why not just do NTRU in $S$ ?

“NTRU in  $S$ ” decryption will succeed if

$$|r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f - b\Phi_n|_\infty < q/2,$$

where  $b$  is the coefficient of  $x^{n-1}$  in  $r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f$ .

Without knowing more about  $b$ , success is only guaranteed when

$$|r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f|_\infty < q/4.$$

## Why not just do NTRU in $S$ ?

“NTRU in  $S$ ” decryption will succeed if

$$|r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f - b\Phi_n|_\infty < q/2,$$

where  $b$  is the coefficient of  $x^{n-1}$  in  $r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f$ .

Without knowing more about  $b$ , success is only guaranteed when

$$|r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f|_\infty < q/4.$$

Known (1996?) workaround: translate by  $\delta\Phi_n$  before “mod  $p$ ”.

## Why not just do NTRU in $S$ ?

“NTRU in  $S$ ” decryption will succeed if

$$|r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f - b\Phi_n|_\infty < q/2,$$

where  $b$  is the coefficient of  $x^{n-1}$  in  $r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f$ .

Without knowing more about  $b$ , success is only guaranteed when

$$|r \circledast p \circledast g + m \circledast f|_\infty < q/4.$$

Known (1996?) workaround: translate by  $\delta\Phi_n$  before “mod  $p$ ”.

Open problems:

- ▶ Choose  $\delta$  in constant time.
- ▶ Save a factor  $\geq \sqrt{2}$  using this approach.

## How the NTRU submissions avoid decryption failures



## How the NTRU submissions avoid decryption failures

- ▶ NTRU-PKE  $n = 743, p = 3, q = 2048$ :
  - ▶ fixed weight 494 for  $f$  and  $g$ ,
  - ▶ uniform trinary for  $r$  and  $m$ ,
  - ▶ expected failure rate  $2^{-112}$  (w.r.t. honest  $r$  and  $m$ ).
- ▶ SS-NTRU-PKE  $n = 1024, p = 2, q = 2^{30} + 2^{13} + 1$ :
  - ▶ wide gaussian for  $f, g, r$ , and  $m$ ,
  - ▶ expected failure rate  $2^{-80}$  (w.r.t. honest  $r$  and  $m$ ).
- ▶ Streamlined NTRU Prime  $n = 761, p = 3, q = 4591$ :
  - ▶ fixed weight 286 for  $f$  and  $r$ ,
  - ▶ uniform trinary for  $g$  and  $m$ .
- ▶ NTRU-HRSS  $n = 701, p = 3, q = 8192$ :
  - ▶ uniform  $\mathcal{T}_+$  for  $f$  and  $g$ ,
  - ▶ uniform trinary for  $r$  and  $m$ .

*Note: these are the distributions assumed in correctness proofs, not necessarily the distributions that are used in implementations.*

## How the NTRU submissions avoid decryption failures

- ▶ NTRU-PKE  $n = 743, p = 3, q = 2048$ :
  - ▶ fixed weight 494 for  $f$  and  $g$ ,
  - ▶ uniform trinary for  $r$  and  $m$ ,
  - ▶ expected failure rate  $2^{-112}$  (w.r.t. honest  $r$  and  $m$ ).
- ▶ SS-NTRU-PKE  $n = 1024, p = 2, q = 2^{30} + 2^{13} + 1$ :
  - ▶ wide gaussian for  $f, g, r,$  and  $m$ ,
  - ▶ expected failure rate  $2^{-80}$  (w.r.t. honest  $r$  and  $m$ ).
- ▶ Streamlined NTRU Prime  $n = 761, p = 3, q = 4591$ :
  - ▶ fixed weight 286 for  $f$  and  $r$ ,
  - ▶ uniform trinary for  $g$  and  $m$ .
- ▶ NTRU-HRSS  $n = 701, p = 3, q = 8192$ :
  - ▶ uniform  $\mathcal{T}_+$  for  $f$  and  $g$ ,
  - ▶ uniform trinary for  $r$  and  $m$ .

*Note: these are the distributions assumed in correctness proofs, not necessarily the distributions that are used in implementations.*

## How the NTRU submissions avoid decryption failures

- ▶ NTRU-PKE  $n = 743, p = 3, q = 2048$ :
  - ▶ fixed weight 494 for  $f$  and  $g$ ,
  - ▶ uniform trinary for  $r$  and  $m$ ,
  - ▶ expected failure rate  $2^{-112}$  (w.r.t. honest  $r$  and  $m$ ).
- ▶ SS-NTRU-PKE  $n = 1024, p = 2, q = 2^{30} + 2^{13} + 1$ :
  - ▶ wide gaussian for  $f, g, r$ , and  $m$ ,
  - ▶ expected failure rate  $2^{-80}$  (w.r.t. honest  $r$  and  $m$ ).
- ▶ Streamlined NTRU Prime  $n = 761, p = 3, q = 4591$ :
  - ▶ fixed weight 286 for  $f$  and  $r$ ,
  - ▶ uniform trinary for  $g$  and  $m$ .
- ▶ NTRU-HRSS  $n = 701, p = 3, q = 8192$ :
  - ▶ uniform  $\mathcal{T}_+$  for  $f$  and  $g$ ,
  - ▶ uniform trinary for  $r$  and  $m$ .

*Note: these are the distributions assumed in correctness proofs, not necessarily the distributions that are used in implementations.*

## How the NTRU submissions avoid decryption failures

- ▶ NTRU-PKE  $n = 743, p = 3, q = 2048$ :
  - ▶ fixed weight 494 for  $f$  and  $g$ ,
  - ▶ uniform trinary for  $r$  and  $m$ ,
  - ▶ expected failure rate  $2^{-112}$  (w.r.t. honest  $r$  and  $m$ ).
- ▶ SS-NTRU-PKE  $n = 1024, p = 2, q = 2^{30} + 2^{13} + 1$ :
  - ▶ wide gaussian for  $f, g, r$ , and  $m$ ,
  - ▶ expected failure rate  $2^{-80}$  (w.r.t. honest  $r$  and  $m$ ).
- ▶ Streamlined NTRU Prime  $n = 761, p = 3, q = 4591$ :
  - ▶ fixed weight 286 for  $f$  and  $r$ ,
  - ▶ uniform trinary for  $g$  and  $m$ .
- ▶ NTRU-HRSS  $n = 701, p = 3, q = 8192$ :
  - ▶ uniform  $\mathcal{T}_+$  for  $f$  and  $g$ ,
  - ▶ uniform trinary for  $r$  and  $m$ .

*Note: these are the distributions assumed in correctness proofs, not necessarily the distributions that are used in implementations.*

## How the NTRU submissions avoid decryption failures

- ▶ NTRU-PKE  $n = 743, p = 3, q = 2048$ :
  - ▶ fixed weight 494 for  $f$  and  $g$ ,
  - ▶ uniform trinary for  $r$  and  $m$ ,
  - ▶ expected failure rate  $2^{-112}$  (w.r.t. honest  $r$  and  $m$ ).
- ▶ SS-NTRU-PKE  $n = 1024, p = 2, q = 2^{30} + 2^{13} + 1$ :
  - ▶ wide gaussian for  $f, g, r$ , and  $m$ ,
  - ▶ expected failure rate  $2^{-80}$  (w.r.t. honest  $r$  and  $m$ ).
- ▶ Streamlined NTRU Prime  $n = 761, p = 3, q = 4591$ :
  - ▶ fixed weight 286 for  $f$  and  $r$ ,
  - ▶ uniform trinary for  $g$  and  $m$ .
- ▶ NTRU-HRSS  $n = 701, p = 3, q = 8192$ :
  - ▶ uniform  $\mathcal{T}_+$  for  $f$  and  $g$ ,
  - ▶ uniform trinary for  $r$  and  $m$ .

*Note: these are the distributions assumed in correctness proofs, not necessarily the distributions that are used in implementations.*

## The “evaluate at 1” map

## The “evaluate at 1” map

Recall:  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^n - 1)$  and

$$x^n - 1 = (x - 1)(x^{n-1} + x^{n-2} + \cdots + x + 1).$$

## The “evaluate at 1” map

Recall:  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^n - 1)$  and

$$x^n - 1 = (x - 1)(x^{n-1} + x^{n-2} + \cdots + x + 1).$$

So  $x \mapsto 1$  is a ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .



## The “evaluate at 1” map

Recall:  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^n - 1)$  and

$$x^n - 1 = (x - 1)(x^{n-1} + x^{n-2} + \cdots + x + 1).$$

So  $x \mapsto 1$  is a ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

This implies, e.g.,

$$c(1) = pr(1)h(1) + m(1) \pmod{q}.$$

## The “evaluate at 1” map

Three solutions:

Control sample spaces.

- ▶ NTRU-PKE.

Multiply the HPS98 values of  $h$  and  $m$  by  $(x - 1)$ .

- ▶ NTRU-HRSS.

Use a different ring.

- ▶ SS-NTRU-PKE.
- ▶ NTRU Prime.

## CCA transform

We use a OWCPA-PKE to CCA-KEM transform due to Dent.

## CCA transform

We use a OWCPA-PKE to CCA-KEM transform due to Dent.

CCA-Encaps:

- ▶ Sample  $m \in \mathcal{T}$ .
- ▶ Hash  $m$  to get coins for encryption and a session key.
- ▶ Encrypt  $m$ , using the coins to sample  $r \in \mathcal{T}$ .
- ▶ Output ciphertext and session key.

## CCA transform

We use a OWCPA-PKE to CCA-KEM transform due to Dent.

CCA-Encaps:

- ▶ Sample  $m \in \mathcal{T}$ .
- ▶ Hash  $m$  to get coins for encryption and a session key.
- ▶ Encrypt  $m$ , using the coins to sample  $r \in \mathcal{T}$ .
- ▶ Output ciphertext and session key.

CCA-Decaps: Decrypt, re-encrypt, and compare.

## CCA transform

We use a OWCPA-PKE to CCA-KEM transform due to Dent.

CCA-Encaps:

- ▶ Sample  $m \in \mathcal{T}$ .
- ▶ Hash  $m$  to get coins for encryption and a session key.
- ▶ Encrypt  $m$ , using the coins to sample  $r \in \mathcal{T}$ .
- ▶ Output ciphertext and session key.

CCA-Decaps: Decrypt, re-encrypt, and compare.

Note: Our submission includes an additional hash for a QROM proof.  
Accounts for 141 bytes of the ciphertext.

## Parameters, security, and performance

We claim  $n = 701$  ( $q = 8192$ ) meets requirements of security category 1.

	Cycles*		Bytes
<b>Keygen:</b>	294 847	<b>sk:</b>	1422
<b>Encaps:</b>	38 456	<b>pk:</b>	1140
<b>Decaps:</b>	68 458	<b>c:</b>	1140 + 141

\* Optimized AVX2 impl. on 3.5 GHz Intel Core i7-4770K CPU.

## Recap

### Pros:

- ▶ No decryption failures.
- ▶ Simple CCA transform (no padding mechanism).
- ▶ No fixed weight distributions.
- ▶ Public keys and ciphertexts map to 0 under  $x \mapsto 1$ .
- ▶ No invertibility checks in key gen.
- ▶ New routines (*LiftP*, sampling from  $\mathcal{T}_+$ ) are cheap.

### Cons:

- ▶  $q$  is a factor of  $\sqrt{2}$  larger than in HPS98 (for same correctness).
- ▶ Need to compute  $F_p$ .